**Submissions Template for the draft amended**

**Animal Products Notice: ‘Specifications for the Ante-mortem and Post-mortem Examination of Poultry Intended for Human or Animal Consumption’**

Please use this table for making your comments for this review.

Name:

Title:

Organisation:

1. Please identify which Part(s) and Clause(s) or Subclause(s) your comment(s) relate to.
2. Use a separate row(s) for each individual comment relating to a particular clause; add rows or submissions pages as required

| **Questions MPI would like feedback on (especially in these areas):** |
| --- |
| **General** |
| 1. | Are there any corrections needed for any of the Notice content? |  |
| 2. | Is the language and structure used in the Notice easy to understand, and to find relevant information?  |  |
| 3. | Are guidance boxes needed? If so, where? |  |
| 4. | Is any external content referred to in the Notice, easy to access? |  |
| 5. | What else could be included in the Notice? |  |
| **Specific clause-related questions** |
| 1. | Are the requirements for each person with responsibilities (Part 2), clear? |  |
| 2. | Are the updated ‘Competencies’ in Part 3, clearly specified, including specific competencies for Direct Supervisors and Nominated Persons?  |  |
|  | Is the information provided for each competency sufficient? Can information about the qualifications be easily accessed? |  |
|  | Clause 2.1(3) and 2.3(1)(d) state that Direct Supervisors should be in ‘sufficiently close physical proximity to the AM and PM examination points at the processing plant’. Is there any additional information required to make this requirement clear?  |  |
|  | Are there additional responsibilities that must be specified for Nominated Persons and Direct Supervisors? |  |
|  | Clauses 2.1(9) and 5.1(1)(e) cover the requirement for a more detailed post-mortem examination. Additional information will be in the Poultry Processing Operational Code (OC). Are there any details about this requirement that you would like to see included in the Operational Code? |  |
| 7. | Clause 3.2(1) specifies the requirement for refresher training at intervals appropriate to responsibility level. What intervals would be appropriate for each responsibility level (Examiner, Direct Supervisor, Nominated Person)? |  |
|  | In Clause 4.1(1)(a), are there any other categories of poultry for which AM recording of numbers should be specified? |  |
| 9. | Clause 5.1(1)(a): Are the requirements for assessment of killed wild or game estate poultry sufficiently clear? If not, what additional specifications should be included here? |  |
| 10. | Clause 5.2(1) (a)i-iv: Are there any additional records that need to be completed for the PM examination of poultry? |  |
| 11. | Are the ‘Miscellaneous Requirements’ (Part 6) sufficiently clear? |  |
| 12.  | Are there any other poultry conditions and dispositions (affecting food safety and wholesomeness) that should be included in Schedule 1?  |  |
| 13. | Are there any conditions or dispositions that apply specifically to poultry like ducks, turkeys, pheasants, quail, guinea fowl, geese, partridges, pigeons, or other game birds, that should be included in Schedule 1? |  |
| 14.  | Are there any conditions or dispositions that apply specifically to wild poultry that should be included in Schedule 1? |  |

**Animal Products Notice: Specifications for the Ante-Mortem and Post-Mortem Examination of Poultry Intended for Human or Animal Consumption**

**For additional submissions/comments:**

| **1. Part** | **2. Clause** | **3. Comment**  | **4. Proposed amendment**  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Please submit to animal.products@mpi.govt.nz by 5 August, 2020