What has changed?

Phytosanitary Inspection Standard



Background

Inspection forms the basis of the majority of phytosanitary verification activity prior to the issuance of MPI phytosanitary certificates.

The general principles associated phytosanitary inspection have remained relatively consistent since the 1980's. This has ensured acceptance of these basic principles in individual export sectors is relatively well understood. Through time sampling and inspection methodologies have changed to reflect operational preferences. In addition this has led to a greater understanding of product inspection being a verification process of the effectiveness of pest risk management for those pests categorised as quarantine pests by importing countries.

Innovation in sampling and inspection has been explored and adopted in a range of circumstances but generally the core methods have remained relatively constant.

Inclusion of additional guidance highlighting flexibility in the application of the standard will benefit those organisations in adopting an inspection process that gives the best results but is both efficient and effective.

What have we changed?

While the principles behind the inspection standard requirements remain consistent opportunity for greater flexibility has been introduced in the standard by:

 Removed the requirement for MAO's to develop their inspection procedures based on HACCP. The standard identifies the 'components' generic to all inspections (i.e. identification of homogenous lots, sampling, inspection, decision making etc.).

- Provided more role specific staff competencies.
- Recognised that some MAO's perform 100% inspections (e.g. logs) and provided clarity on what they need to document and what they don't (e.g. sampling plans).
- Introduced more flexibility for low risk products by including alternative sampling options for fully processed products and inert material.
- Increased guidance on sampling plans and inspection techniques for various commodity groups to ensure it is clear how one commodity group can approach sampling and inspection differently to another.
- Tabulated the requirements for decision making by commodity group to provide clarity when applying the acceptance criteria.
- Clarified that inspectors can only identify pests they are competent to identify.
- Provided more guidance on where to find schedules of MPI approved treatments.
- Recognised that records are increasingly electronically captured and changed the expectation of how information is captured and relayed to others in the export pathway.
- Change in terminology from 'Post Inspection product security' to 'maintaining phytosanitary security'.
- Provided guidance on how to interpret and apply the MPL acceptance tables.

How will this impact you?

This new approach should result in:

- Improved understanding of sampling plans for various commodities.
- Clarification of inspection techniques for specific commodities.

Note: This communication only reflects the significant changes please refer to the applicable MPI Standard for <u>all</u> changes

What has changed?

Phytosanitary Inspection Standard



 Clearer inspection criteria that documented procedures can be based on.

Detailed description of changes to the Inspection Standard

Change		Why?	Impact on existing systems
	Reformatted into a 'MPI	Consistency of format will provide greater	IVA's/ MAO's may want to
All	standards' template.	clarity for industry longer term.	adjust their documentation
All	Re-ordered the sections	Re-ordered to better suit the reader of the standard.	sequencing and numbering to suit.
Part	A concise overview of who	Provides a simplified introduction to the	No significant impact. The
(P)	this Standard applies to and	requirements contained in the standard.	introduction indicates the
Intro.	why it is important to understand the requirements.		standards general effect.
P1	New section summarising the	Outlines the principles and expectations of	No direct impact. Provides a
	key principle for MPI	MPI as per the Framework standard and	benchmark/structure for the
	inspection.	IPPC in ISPM 23 & 31 and translates these into the Inspection standard.	rest of the standard.
P2.1	Clarifies requirement for	Improve the clarity of what inspection	Minimal if existing procedures
	documented inspection and phyto security procedures.	procedures are required and their content.	are well documented.
P2.2	More specific competency	To ensure staff have the appropriate skill	IVA/MAO's may need to review
	criteria for the various inspection roles.	sets to perform their phytosanitary role on behalf of MPI.	their current competency assessments to align to the new criteria
P3.1	More guidance and clarity	To provide clarity on how applying	Will assist MAOs to fine tune
	regards homogeneity and	homogeneity, segregation and	the focus of their procedures
	identifying lots for inspection.	identification systems can assist with	for determining lots for
		establishing and maintaining traceability.	inspection.
P3.2	Added 100% inspection	To provide some clear commonly used	Will assist IVAs & MAOs define
	option plus sampling plan	sampling plans for various commodities.	more appropriate sampling
	guidance for various	Provides those organisations handling fully	plan for various products.
	commodity groups. New	processed products/inert materials with an	
	section for fully	alternative sampling plan.	
P3.3	processed/inert materials. Revamped the inspection	To help ensure the inspection techniques	Limited impact as the standard
P3.3	criteria and added guidance	are practical and effective for different	has been updated to reflect the
	on inspection techniques for	commodity groups.	inspection techniques that exist
	various commodity groups.	commonly groups.	in practice.
P3.4	Tabulated the decision	Provide clarity for all commodities	Limited impact as the minimum
	making section and included	including references to MPL tables and	requirement hasn't changed.
	fully processed products and	differentiating between country specified	
	inert materials.	requirements and those where default	
		criteria are applicable.	
P3.5	Expanded how organisations	Added criteria around identification,	These inclusions will mean
	need to deal with managing	traceability and security of non-	some organisations will need to
	non-conforming product.	conforming lots to reduce risks of loss of	provide more detail on how
	Added disposal as an option	control of these lots. Assist MAO's with	they manage non-conforming
	and provided additional	MPI approved treatments options.	lots.
P3.6	guidance. Added detail on identifying	Provide clarity on the content of the	Limited impact as the minimum
	market eligibility	procedures.	requirement hasn't changed.
P3.7	Greater flexibility on the	To recognise and take advantage of	Flexibility & clarity as to what
	makeup of records	electronic means of capturing and relaying	records will be accepted.
	6 111	phytosanitary information better.	ol Man i il interior
App 1	Readable version of MPL	Clarity of decision making for quarantine	Clear MPI version that MAO's
& 2	acceptance number tables.	(0.5%MPL) and non-quarantine (5%MPL)	may reference in their system.
		pests.	

Note: This communication only reflects the significant changes please refer to the applicable MPI Standard for \underline{all} changes

What has changed?

Phytosanitary Inspection Standard



App 3	New guidance on how to use	Assist inspectors with how to interpret and	Minimal impact but could
	the MPL tables.	apply the MPL acceptance number tables.	improve the decision making.

Note: This communication only reflects the significant changes please refer to the applicable MPI Standard for \underline{all} changes