**Proposed National Environmental Standard for Plantation Forestry**

**Template for Submitters**

We would like to hear your views on the proposed NES-PF.

Please feel free to use this template to prepare your submission. Once complete please email to [NES-PFConsultation@mpi.govt.nz](mailto:NES-PFConsultation@mpi.govt.nz).

As stated in section 8.2 of the consultation document, your submission must include at least the following information:

* your name, postal address, phone number and, if you have one, email address
* the title of the proposed standard you are making the submission about
* whether you support or oppose the standard
* your submission, with reasons for your views
* any changes you would like made to the standard
* the decision you wish the Ministers to make.

When commenting on specific draft rules, please be as clear as possible which rule you are referring to and provide a reference e.g. to the relevant page number, heading or text.

For more information about how to make a submission, please refer to section 8 of the consultation document.

**Contact details**

**Name:**

**Postal address:**

**Phone number:**

**Email address:**

**Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation? Yes [ ] No [ ]**

**If yes, which organisation are you submitting on behalf of?**

**If you are a forest owner/manager, what size of forest do you own/manage (in hectares):**

### *Privacy Act 1993*

### Where you provide personal information in this consultation MPI will collect the information and will only use it for the purposes of the consultation. Under the Privacy Act 1993 you have the right to request access and correction of any personal information you have provided or that MPI holds on you. *Official Information Act 1982*

### All submissions are subject to the Official Information Act 1982 and may be released (along with the personal details of the submitter) under the Act. If you have specific reasons for wanting to have your submission or personal details withheld, please set out your reasons in the submission. MPI will consider those reasons when making any assessment for the release of submissions if requested under the Official Information Act.

#### *Please indicate below if you wish your personal details to be withheld:*

[ ] Please withhold my personal details where submissions are made public

[ ] Please withhold my personal details in response to a request under the Official Information Act 1982

**Questions for submitters**

The questions for submitters that are included throughout the consultation document are provided below. We encourage you to provide comments to support your answers to the questions below.

1. Do you think section 2.1 and 2.2 of the consultation document accurately describe the problem facing plantation forestry?

Please provide comments to support your views.

Please enter your comments here ...

1. Do you consider that the conditions for permitted activities will manage the adverse environmental effects of plantation forestry?

Please provide comments to support your views.

Please enter your comments here ...

1. Are the conditions for permitted activities clear and enforceable (see appendix 3 of the consultation document)? Can you suggest ways of making the rules clearer and more enforceable?

Please provide comments to support your views.

Please enter your comments here ...

1. Are the matters where local authorities can retain local decision-making appropriate (summarised in Table 2 and Table 4 and provided in detail in Appendix 3 of the consultation document)?

Please provide comments to support your views.

Please enter your comments here ...

1. Will the environmental risk assessment tools (the Erosion Susceptibility Classification, the Wilding Spread Risk Calculator, and the Fish Spawning Indicator) appropriately manage environmental effects as intended (see section 3.5 of the consultation document)?

Please provide comments to support your views.

Please enter your comments here ...

1. Do you have any comments about any particular activity or draft rule (see appendix 3 of the consultation document)?

Please include reference to the rule you are referring to.

Please enter your comments here ...

1. Is the NES–PF the best option to meet the assessment criteria (in Box 13 of the consultation document)?

Please provide comments to support your views.

Please enter your comments here ...

1. Have the expected costs and benefits of the NES-PF been adequately identified (see section 4.3 of the consultation document)?

Please provide comments to support your views.

Please enter your comments here ...

1. Are there any issues that may affect the successful implementation of the NES-PF (such as decision-makers applying the permitted baseline test more frequently)?

Please provide comments to support your views.

Please enter your comments here ...

1. Please describe any risks or opportunities that you consider have not been identified or addressed in the proposal.

Please enter your comments here ...

1. Will the proposed NES-PF support regional councils to implement the NPS-FM (see section 6.1 of the consultation document)?

Please provide comments to support your views.

Please enter your comments here ...

1. What resources or other implementation activities would help you to prepare for and comply with the proposed NES-PF (see section 7 of the consultation document)? How should these activities be delivered (for example, training, online modules, guidance material)?

Please enter your comments here ...

1. Are there any other issues that you would like to raise?

Please enter your comments here ...